



**NASA Kentucky EPSCoR Program
Research Infrastructure Development (RID)
2025 Request for Proposals**

Announcement: RFP-25-002

Release Date: March 24, 2025

Proposals Due: ~~Wednesday, April 23, 2025~~

Extended: ~~Wednesday, April 30, 2025~~

Proposal files submitted online at nasa.engr.uky.edu

Dr. Alexandre Martin, Director

NASA Kentucky Programs

151 RGAN (R.G. Anderson Bldg)

Lexington, KY 40506-0503

nasa@uky.edu

For more information contact:

Jacob Owen, Associate Director

(859) 323-4542

jacob.owen@uky.edu

Proposal forms, FAQ, and additional information available:
nasa.engr.uky.edu/epscor and nasa.engr.uky.edu/requests-for-proposals

NASA KY EPSCoR RID 2025 Request for Proposals

NASA Kentucky EPSCoR RID Program Overview

NASA Kentucky EPSCoR (Established Program to Stimulate Competitive Research) programs promote aerospace-related research capability in the state in areas of importance to NASA and Kentucky. This program awards competitive seed research grants to faculty at Kentucky higher education institutions for scientific and technology development in collaborative partnership with NASA researchers. Kentucky’s program supports NASA EPSCoR objectives to grow research capacity in the state, increase NASA-related economic impact, invest in early-career researchers at state institutions to become nationally and internationally recognized for contributions in their fields, and contribute to economic development, evidenced by securing follow-on research funding from non-EPSCoR sources and supporting Kentucky aerospace industry development and associated R&D.

Request for Proposals

The KENTUCKY NASA EPSCoR Program invites proposal submissions for the following programs:

RIDG - Research Infrastructure Development Grants
WCS - Workshop/Conference/Seminar Awards

Program Descriptions	See following pages for RIDG and WCS program descriptions
Period of Performance	Awards up to one year, beginning June 2025 or later
Cost-Share	No cost-share required
Number of Awards	6 RIDG awards and 2 WCS awards are expected. Number of awards in each category is determined by size of individual awards and available program funding levels.
Eligibility	Proposals will be accepted from any Kentucky institution of higher education. US Citizenship is not required, so long as researchers are legally employed at a Kentucky institution.
Submission limit	PIs are limited to one (1) proposal submission per program category. There is no limit on collaboration as a Co-I.

Submission Instructions

Submit proposals as PDF files via the NASA KY website. Proposal filenames should begin with the PI last name and the Program (RIDG or WCS). Proposal forms are available at nasa.engr.uky.edu/requests-for-proposals/forms. Proposals should include:

- 1) **SIGNED COVER PAGE:** Digital signatures are acceptable.
- 2) **BUDGET FORM AND NARRATIVE:** Complete the NASA KY budget form and include budget narrative with detailed justification of requested support.
- 3) **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**
 - o 12-point font, 1-inch margins, single spaced
 - o 5-page limit - See specific program guidelines for required content
- 4) **ADDITIONAL PAGES:**
 - o See specific program guidelines on following pages for required additional pages

Submit proposals online at nasa.engr.uky.edu through **Wednesday, April 30, 2025.**

Submissions prior to the deadline are encouraged.

Additional information and FAQ: nasa.engr.uky.edu/epscor

Guidelines

Proposals that omit required materials or exceed page limits may be rejected without review. Proposals from PIs who have not completed reporting requirements or proposed work on prior NASA Kentucky awards may be rejected without review. Submitted proposals must be consistent with the PI institution's policies and practices (e.g. definition of equipment, stipend, etc). Proposers may contact NASA KY with questions about allowable costs.

- *Capital Equipment* may not be purchased under this RFP.
- *Travel* funds are restricted to domestic travel only.

F&A Rates: NASA EPSCoR is a research development program and proposing universities and colleges should use their full research rate for F&A. Some proposals will be funded by a state funding source, which does not allow for indirect costs (to be determined at time of award).

Policies

Cost reimbursement: Subawards issued from this RFP must invoice for expenses via the University of Kentucky Online Subaward Invoicing system, with a courtesy copy to nasa.invoices@uky.edu. All subaward invoices must show appropriate documentation of cost share in proportion to expenses. Supporting documentation must be submitted for all invoiced expenses.

Renewal Proposals: Existing projects may propose under this RFP for a renewal period and additional funding. Renewal projects should describe extended objectives in the project description and provide discussion of achievements towards current objectives. Renewal funding may be administered via a project's current account.

Performance Reporting: Principal Investigators (PIs) are required to report project progress and results: 1) as needed during the award period, 2) within 30 days of the end of the award (final technical report), and 3) annual updates post-award. Reporting must be completed in order to meet sponsor requirements.

No-Cost Extensions: Requests for no-cost extensions must be submitted at least 45 days prior to the end date. Requests should include a status report on all tasks listed in the proposal.

Attribution: Publications, posters, and presentations resulting from awards made under this RFP should include an attribution statement acknowledging NASA KY support. Example: "***The material is based upon work supported by the NASA Kentucky EPSCoR Program under NASA award No: 80NSSC22M0034.***"

Review Process

Reviewers will recommend proposals for funding to the NASA KY Director. Proposals will be funded according to available funding for each program. Program objectives, past reporting, and accomplishments may be considered in evaluation of proposals. To avoid conflicts of interest, alternate reviewers may be recruited. Proposals will be rated based on the following general criteria. See appendix for detailed criteria.

- MERIT: Intrinsic merit of the proposed research (40%)
- RELEVANCE: Relevance of proposed research to NASA and Kentucky priorities; alignment with NASA EPSCoR program objectives (30%)
- FOLLOW-ON: Specific plans to pursue follow-on funding including further development of NASA and industry collaborations (10%)
- MANAGEMENT: Management and evaluation; successful and timely completion of prior proposed NASA Kentucky projects and reporting (10%)
- BUDGET: Reasonableness of budget narrative (10%)

Summary of NASA Kentucky EPSCoR RID Programs

Funding Source ¹	Program ²	Program Description	US Citizenship Required	Max Award	Indirect Costs Allowed	Required Cost-Share	NASA Collaboration
NASA EPSCoR or KY CED	Research Infrastructure Development Grants (RIDG)	Faculty-directed research to enhance collaborations with NASA partners	No	\$35,000	Yes	None required	NASA participation ³
NASA EPSCoR or KY CED	Workshop/Conference/Seminar Awards (WCS)	Meetings to explore aerospace topics and joint funding opportunities	No	\$5,000	Yes	None required	Letter of support from partner ⁴

Notes:

¹ Projects are funded by NASA KY EPSCoR, by utilizing funding either from a cooperative agreement with NASA or matching funds provided by the KY Cabinet for Economic Development. Selected projects will be supported based on available funding and the provisions of sponsor agreements, which may be subject to change.

² PIs are limited to **one (1) proposal submission per program category**. There is no limit on collaboration as a Co-I.

³ Letter of support (preferred) or other communication (such as email) **that describes NASA participation, partnership or collaboration with the project**. Letters of support do not include letters of endorsement (i.e., letters that only endorse the value or merit of a proposal). Letters of support may be from NASA or affiliated organizations including NASA Institutes/Laboratories such as JPL, Space Telescope Science Institute, National Space Biomedical Institute, CASIS, and others. (See [NASA KY FAQ](#) for more information about NASA letters of support.)

⁴ Letter of support describing **support to the workshop / conference / seminar from committed partners** such as university departments, science organizations, etc. (not required to be NASA partners).

Research Alignment and Collaboration

Proposers should seek to establish a research effort that can contribute to sustainable research capabilities in the state. Programmatic alignment is a determining factor in this funding program. Proposals to this program must address objectives described below and in the following sections. Proposals should align with NASA missions and research as well as national [NASA EPSCoR](#) objectives and priorities of the Kentucky NASA EPSCoR Program.

Alignment with NASA technical objectives is essential for success of the NASA EPSCoR program. NASA points of contact are available in the NASA EPSCoR FY25 Research Focus Areas and R3 Research Topics documents. Proposers can utilize [NASA Techport](#) and [NASA STI](#) to review NASA research that has been done in their area.

Proposers should utilize and incorporate the proposal resources available on the [NASA KY EPSCoR](#) web page:

- **NASA Techport**
- **NASA Technology Taxonomy**
- **NASA EPSCoR Research Focus Areas (FY25)**
- **NASA EPSCoR R3 Research Topics (FY25)**
- **NASA STI**
- **NASA Center Core Competencies**
- **NASA Grants and Cooperative Agreement Manual**
- **NASA EPSCoR Program Objectives**

National NASA EPSCoR Program Objectives

- *Contribute to and promote the development of research infrastructure in NASA EPSCoR jurisdictions in areas of strategic importance to the NASA mission;*
- *Improve capabilities of the NASA EPSCoR jurisdictions to gain support from sources outside the NASA EPSCoR programs;*
- *Develop partnerships among NASA research assets, academic institutions, and industry;*
- *Contribute to the overall research infrastructure and economic development of the jurisdiction;*
- *Focus on research of important priority to NASA.*

Kentucky NASA EPSCoR Program Objectives

The NASA Kentucky EPSCoR Program receives state support through the Kentucky Cabinet for Economic Development and the statewide Kentucky EPSCoR Committee, the University of Kentucky, and participating institutions statewide.

The mission of the Kentucky EPSCoR Program is to facilitate Federal EPSCoR programs in KY in order to enhance research and intellectual capacity of the state's universities and colleges by building and coordinating strategic investments in human capital necessary for Kentucky to excel in Federal R&D funding competitiveness.

Derived from this statewide mission, the Kentucky NASA EPSCoR Program has goals to enhance capacity through strategic investments focused on NASA-priority research areas and competitiveness for non-EPSCoR funding.

A key factor in achieving these goals is initiation of relationships between Kentucky and NASA researchers that develop into partnerships. This program emphasizes the process of relationship building, including the contribution of early-career faculty in helping to solve NASA technical problems.

NASA KY EPSCoR investment is focused on NASA priorities including Aeronautics, Science, Human Spaceflight and Space Technology missions, ISS National Laboratory, lunar and planetary exploration, and space and Earth science to develop researchers in Kentucky who are nationally and internationally recognized for contributions to their fields.

Equally important to building research capacity are the resulting contributions to economic development evidenced by securing follow-on research funding from non-EPSCoR sources and supporting aerospace-related industrial development and associated job creation. Growth in economic development opportunities as a result of the NASA EPSCoR investment is a jurisdictional emphasis underlying all aspects of the program.

NASA Mission Directorates

The NASA EPSCoR Program identifies research and technology priorities based on alignment with NASA's Mission Directorates. The Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate (ARMD), Exploration Systems Development Mission Directorate (ESDMD), Science Mission Directorate (SMD), Space Operations Mission Directorate (SOMD), and the Space Technology Mission Directorate (STMD) identify their priorities on the NASA website. For information on NASA's missions and technical objectives, please visit www.nasa.gov/nasa-missions/ and the following websites:

- **Aeronautics Research** (<http://www.aeronautics.nasa.gov/>)
- **Exploration Systems Development** (<https://www.nasa.gov/directorates/exploration-systems-development>)
- **Science** (<http://science.nasa.gov/>)
- **Space Operations** (<https://www.nasa.gov/directorates/space-operations-mission-directorate>)
- **Space Technology** (<http://www.nasa.gov/directorates/spacetech/home/index.html>)

Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate (ARMD): NASA aeronautics has made decades of contributions to aviation. Every U.S. commercial aircraft and U.S. air traffic control tower has NASA-developed technology on board that helps improve efficiency and maintain safety. Research conducted by ARMD directly benefits today's air transportation system, the aviation industry, and the passengers and businesses who rely on aviation every day. ARMD scientists, engineers, programmers, test pilots, facilities managers and strategic planners are focused on aviation's future. They design, develop and test advanced technologies that will make aviation much more environmentally friendly, maintain safety in more crowded skies, and ultimately transform the way we fly. NASA's aeronautics research is primarily conducted at four NASA centers: Ames Research Center and Armstrong Flight Research Center in California, Glenn Research Center in Ohio, and Langley Research Center in Virginia.

Exploration Systems Development Mission Directorate (ESDMD): The Exploration Systems Development Mission Directorate defines and manages systems development for programs critical to the NASA's Artemis program and planning for NASA's Moon to Mars exploration approach in an integrated manner. ESDMD manages the human exploration system development for lunar orbital, lunar surface, and Mars exploration. ESDMD leads the human aspects of the Artemis activities as well as the integration of science into the human system elements. ESDMD is responsible for development of the lunar and Mars architectures. Programs in the mission directorate include Orion, Space Launch System, Exploration Ground Systems, Gateway, Human Landing System, and Extravehicular Activity (xEVA) and Human Surface Mobility. ESDMD duties were previously managed under the Human Exploration and Operations Mission Directorate (HEOMD).

Science Mission Directorate (SMD): NASA's Science Mission Directorate (SMD) is responsible for directing and overseeing the nation's space research program in Earth and space science. The Directorate engages the external and internal science community to define and prioritize science questions and seeks to expand the frontiers of four broad scientific pursuits: Earth Science, Planetary Science, Heliophysics, and Astrophysics. Through a variety of robotic observatory and explorer craft, and through sponsored research, the Directorate provides virtual human access to the farthest reaches of space and time, as well as practical information about changes on our home planet.

Space Operations Mission Directorate (SOMD): NASA's Space Operations Mission Directorate (SOMD) is responsible for enabling sustained human exploration missions and operations in our solar system. SOMD manages NASA's current and future space operations in and beyond low-Earth orbit (LEO), including commercial launch services to the International Space Station. SOMD operates and maintains exploration systems, develops and operates space transportation systems, and performs broad scientific research on orbit. In addition, SOMD is responsible for managing the space transportation services for NASA and NASA-sponsored payloads that require orbital launch, and the agency's space communications and navigation services supporting all NASA's space systems currently in orbit. SOMD duties were previously managed under the Human Exploration and Operations Mission Directorate (HEOMD).

Space Technology Mission Directorate (STMD): Technology drives exploration to the Moon, Mars and beyond. NASA's Space Technology Mission Directorate (STMD) develops transformative space technologies to enable future missions. As NASA embarks on its next era of exploration, STMD is focused on advancing technologies and testing new capabilities at the Moon that will be critical for crewed missions to Mars. In many ways, the Moon will serve as a technology testbed and proving ground for Mars. STMD engages and inspires thousands of entrepreneurs, researchers and innovators, creating a community of America's best and brightest working on the nation's toughest challenges. Space technology research and development take place at NASA centers, universities and national labs. STMD leverages partnerships with other government agencies as well as commercial and international partners. Our current technology portfolio spans a range of discipline areas and technology readiness levels. Investments in revolutionary, American-made space technologies provide solutions on Earth and in space. NASA technology turns up in nearly every corner of modern life. We make our space tech available to commercial companies to generate real world benefits – everything from creating jobs to saving lives.

Research Infrastructure Development Grants (RIDG) - \$35,000

Description: NASA EPSCoR programs seek to strengthen research capability in the state by promoting development of research infrastructure, improving capabilities to gain support outside of EPSCoR, and developing partnerships with NASA. Proposals for **Research Infrastructure Development Grants (RIDG)** must be aligned with one or more of NASA's Mission Directorates (MD) and advance a collaboration between Kentucky researchers and NASA partners (or related institutions). RIDG funding builds NASA partnerships to develop a successful seed investigation to compete for follow-on funding, including next-level preparation for submission to the three-year NASA EPSCoR Research Area (RA) or other nationally competitive solicitations. RIDG support is sufficient for a combination of PI salary, materials and supplies, publication costs, domestic travel, and research assistant support. Faculty and institutions may design a project to meet the needs of the researcher, institution and planned NASA partnership. Each funded NASA KY EPSCoR proposal is expected to establish research activities that will address research and technology development priorities of a NASA Mission Directorate and contribute to the overall research infrastructure, science and technology capabilities, higher education and economic development of the jurisdiction (KY).

Eligibility: Proposals will be accepted from institutions of higher education in Kentucky. US citizenship is not required, so long as researchers are legally employed at a Kentucky institution.

Requirements: The proposal must identify alignment with NASA priorities. Communication (letter or email) describing NASA (or related) involvement with the project is required. Funded research activities should result in submission of a joint publication. Strengthened partnerships will result from the collaboration and provide an established foundation for submission to EPSCoR Research Area, R3, or non-EPSCoR funding opportunities. Funded projects will be expected to develop plans for follow-on funding and should result in submission of one or more proposals. See also guidelines on previous pages and in the appendix.

Proposal Content: See *Submission Instructions* (pg. 1). Proposals should utilize the NASA KY cover sheet and budget form, followed by the project description and additional pages.

1) Project Description:

- No more than 5 pages including tables and figures describing: Abstract (200-300 words), project summary including specific goals for the funded period, schedule, milestones and anticipated outcomes, NASA alignment, plans to communicate project activities and results and plans to pursue follow-on funding. Proposals must describe a schedule for regular contact with a NASA collaborator or related organization and plan for technical interchange with the NASA collaborator at a NASA site or an academic conference.
- Additional pages - included after 5-page project description
 - Bibliography/References as needed
 - Principal Investigator's 2 page CV; 1 page CV for Co-Is
 - List of Current and Pending Awards: Award title, sponsor, dates, amount, commitment
 - Executive summary describing results and impact of prior NASA KY funding (not to exceed 2 pages)
 - Letter of support (or email) from a NASA collaborator (or related organization) indicating mutual interest in the proposed research project, relevance to NASA priorities and willingness to be involved with the proposed research via technical assistance, progress review, etc.

Budget Guidelines: Proposers may request up to \$35,000 for one year. Allowable costs include faculty salary, fringe benefits, materials and supplies, publication costs, domestic travel and student research assistant support. Support for NASA personnel is not allowed. Indirect costs are allowed. No cost-share is required. Budget justification should demonstrate effective use of funds that align with content and text of the proposed project. All proposed costs should be fully described in the budget justification and comply with institutional policies.

Longitudinal Tracking of Students: All students receiving compensation must be reported to NASA KY.

Workshop/Conference/Seminar Grants (WCS) - \$5,000

Description: NASA EPSCoR programs seek to strengthen research capability in the state by promoting development of research infrastructure, improving capabilities to gain support outside of EPSCoR and developing partnerships with NASA and related organizations. Proposals submitted for **Workshop/Conference/Seminar (WCS)** awards must be aligned with one or more of NASA's Mission Directorates (MD) and increase collaboration among Kentucky researchers and NASA and aerospace-aligned partners. Workshop funding can build Kentucky and NASA partnerships to develop interdisciplinary teams interested in pursuing the three-year NASA EPSCoR Research Area (RA) or other nationally competitive solicitations; conference funding can provide partial support for a local, regional, national or international meeting hosted in Kentucky focused on NASA-related research; and seminar funding can support a series of seminars or webinars on aerospace-related topics.

Eligibility: Proposals will be accepted from institutions of higher education in Kentucky. US citizenship is not required, so long as proposers are legally employed at a Kentucky institution.

Requirements: WCS activities must be aligned with NASA priorities addressed by one or more of the Mission Directorates. WCS projects must be promoted regionally or statewide, impacting at least six participants from at least two different organizations. A summary document of the meeting/sessions that summarizes the discussion should be prepared and submitted post-meeting. NASA EPSCoR and the NASA Kentucky EPSCoR Program must be acknowledged as sponsors of the event. Connections with Kentucky companies are viewed favorably. See also guidelines on previous pages.

Proposal Content: See *Submission Instructions* (pg. 1). Proposals should utilize the NASA KY cover sheet and budget form, followed by the project description and additional pages.

1) Project Description:

- No more than 3 pages including tables and figures describing: abstract (200-300 words), project summary, alignment with NASA MD, specific goals for the funded period and anticipated outcomes. Proposals must describe a schedule and identify potential participants.
- Additional pages - included after the 3-page project description
 - Bibliography/References as needed
 - Principal Investigator's 2-page CV
 - Letter of support from institution partner, scientific site and/or NASA-aligned collaborator

Budget Guidelines: Anticipated award levels are \$500 up to a maximum award amount of \$5,000. Allowable costs include transportation and lodging for participants and guest speakers, speakers' fees (not honoraria), and facility rental. Support for NASA personnel is not allowed. Event meals and promotional items are not allowable as expenses. No cost-share is required, however partnerships and third-party sponsorships are strongly encouraged and will be viewed favorably. Indirect costs are allowed.

NASA KY EPSCoR RIDG Proposal Review Form (2025)

Reviewers will score proposals from 0-100. Criteria assess reasonableness of the proposed project and alignment to NASA-relevant objectives, including Scientific Merit, Technical and Programmatic Relevance, Follow-on Potential, Management and Evaluation, and Budget.

SCIENTIFIC MERIT (40%)

Approach and Implementation:

Score (0-20) _____

4	8	12	16	20
Limited novelty or creativity in problem formulation or project approach. Limited opportunities for innovation or original findings. Goals and objectives unclear.	Some opportunities for creative project formulation. A few opportunities for project innovation or creativity may be possible. Goals and objectives are somewhat clear.	Good opportunities for creativity and innovation in project formulation or possible approaches towards novel solutions. Goals and objectives are identified adequately.	Some very creative concepts and original suggestions for innovation; evidence of potential novel approaches to existing problems. Potential for publication.	Extremely creative and original concepts suitable for conference or journal scholarly work; novel and transformative approaches to problem solving within or across fields. Clear and logical goals, objectives and tasks.

Proposal Narrative:

Score (0-10) _____

2	4	6	8	10
Writing difficult to understand, but some signs of project conception and organization; minimal supporting evidence.	Multiple sections of poor writing style and organization; limited supporting evidence and disciplinary conceptualization.	Writing moderately clear, structured, and organized; some good supporting evidence.	Writing clear and well organized; well structured and conceived.	Very clear and compelling approach, with excellent interdisciplinary organization, methodologies, and supporting evidence from multiple viewpoints.

Intrinsic Merit:

Score (0-10) _____

2	4	6	8	10
A seriously flawed proposal having one or more major weaknesses, such as an inadequate or flawed plan of research.	A proposal that provides a nominal response to the AO, but whose weaknesses outweigh any perceived strengths.	A competent proposal having neither significant strengths nor weakness and/or whose strengths or weaknesses essentially balance.	A fully competent proposal of high merit whose strengths fully outbalance any weaknesses.	A comprehensive, thorough, and compelling proposal of excellent merit with numerous and/or significant strengths and no major weaknesses.

TECHNICAL RELEVANCE (15%)

Score (0-15) _____

The proposal demonstrates alignment of research with NASA technical priorities, such as:

- Research is aligned with NASA technical and scientific areas
- Research will explore innovative and unique objectives that relate to NASA technical and scientific goals and build upon previous NASA research
- Proposal references NASA Techport, NASA Technology Taxonomy, NASA EPSCoR Research Focus Areas or other relevant technical resources
- Proposal includes communication from NASA (or related institutions) indicating intent to be involved with the project (more than an endorsement of merit; e.g., technical advice, progress reviews, data sharing, assistance with analysis, student mentorship, etc).
- Proposal includes plan for regular interaction with NASA and other collaborators

PROGRAMMATIC RELEVANCE (15%)

Score (0-15) _____

The proposal demonstrates alignment of the project with NASA EPSCoR and Kentucky NASA EPSCoR programmatic objectives, such as:

- Establishes or enhances Kentucky research activity in a NASA-related R&D or scientific area
- Invests research funds in improving Kentucky research infrastructure, including laboratory capabilities and/or researcher expertise of benefit to NASA-related research
- Develops or expands partnerships between Kentucky researchers and NASA and/or related industry and research organizations
- Contributes to advanced workforce training, R&D, and/or research commercialization in KY
- Discusses relationship of the proposal with previous research efforts supported by NASA or NASA KY (if applicable)

FOLLOW-ON POTENTIAL (SUSTAINABILITY) (10%)

Score (0-10) _____

The proposal demonstrates plans to sustain the research and pursue follow-on efforts, such as:

- Plans to communicate about the project, disseminate results and expand impact of the research (press releases, conferences, publications, outreach, etc)
- Plans to further develop partnerships and collaborations (NASA, Federal, state, industry, academic institutions and research organizations)
- Evidence that the proposing institution is supportive of the project and supports the research expertise with financial and/or non-financial resource commitments and strategic initiatives
- Proposer identifies specific potential funding programs to pursue follow-on funding, especially from sources outside the NASA EPSCoR program
- Proposer identifies potential contributions to economic development and commercialization if applicable (IP, patents, entrepreneurship, workforce training relevant to KY)

MANAGEMENT AND EVALUATION (10%)

Score (0-10) _____

The proposal demonstrates reliable and effective plans for project management, such as:

- Project schedule with timeline and milestones
- Scope of work that can be accomplished in a 1-year timeframe
- Personnel who are qualified and available
- Necessary facilities and equipment that are available
- Metrics to evaluate research progress towards anticipated outcomes

BUDGET AND COST RISK (10%)

Score (0-10) _____

The proposal demonstrates plans for effective use of funds, such as:

- Planned expenses are well detailed, reasonable and appropriate
- No obvious budget errors (F&A is included, etc)
- Proposal budget supports adequate personnel effort to accomplish the scope of work
- Proposal budget adequately describes materials needed to support the scope of work
- Proposal budget supports efforts to expand the impact of project results

TOTAL SCORE:

COMMENTS (Optional):